



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/678,333	10/03/2000	Mikio Watanabe	. 0879-0281P	4816	
75	90 06/10/2005	EXAMINER			
BIRCH, STEWART, KOLASCH & BIRCH, LLP P. O. Box 747 Falls Church, VA 22040-0747			AGGARWAL, YOGESH K		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2615		
			DATE MAILED: 06/10/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

# Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/678,333	WATANABE, MIKIO	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Yogesh K. Aggarwal	2615	

	Yogesh K. Aggarwal	2615						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress					
THE REPLY FILED <u>25 May 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.								
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:  a) The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.								
b) The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.  The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.								
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).								
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL								
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).								
AMENDMENTS		_						
The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,  (a) They raise new issues that would require further co  (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo  (c) They are not deemed to place the application in be  appeal; and/or  (d) They present additional claims without canceling a  NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a))  The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.75. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)  Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a  the non-allowable claim(s).  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a)  how the new or amended claims would be rejected is profited the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	onsideration and/or search (see NOow); etter form for appeal by materially recorresponding number of finally recorresponding number of finally recorresponding number of Non-Cooks;  121. See attached Notice of Non-Cooks; allowable if submitted in a separate will not be entered, or b)	TE below); educing or simplifying jected claims. ompliant Amendment , timely filed amendn	the issues for (PTOL-324).					
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE  B The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a charging of the content of the co								
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good are and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	·		•					
7. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessan. 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the property	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe ry and was not earlier presented. S	eal and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)	ails to provide a (1).					
11.  The request for reconsideration has been considered by See attached paper.		$\wedge$	ance because:					
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).  13. Other:	. (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s)	METZ					
		DDIMARY FX	AMINER					

PRIMARY EXAMINE

### Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed 05/25/2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

#### **Examiner interview**

2. Examiner greatly appreciates the applicant for the interview on April 29, 2005 to further prosecute the application. Examiner agrees with the applicant that it was agreed that the rejection of claim 1 would be withdrawn and also no search would be necessary if the claims 3 and 9 were amended as agreed by both parties. However subsequent to the interview the Examiner pointed out the meaning of the word "stop" as used in claims 1 and 9 to be "to keep it from beginning" from the Webster's New world Dictionary (Third college edition) and a faxed copy was sent to the applicant on their request.

## Examiner's response:

- 3. Regarding claims 1 and 9 applicant argues the following:
- a) Shuichi discloses except for transmission equipment 5, a power source is supplied to other circuits and photography housekeeping operations like a lens cover opening is performed by the device. The Examiner asserts that these teachings teach receiving an instruction to capture an image. Applicant respectfully disagrees with these assertions. Applicant maintains that the mere powering of the camera and opening the lens cover is insufficient to teach receiving an instruction to capture an image. Shuichi clearly discloses that the power switch is separate from the image-capturing switch. Thus, the Examiner's reliance on paragraph (0016) that describes the powering of the electronic camera is insufficient to teach or suggest an electronic camera receiving an instruction to capture an image. The Examiner agrees with the applicant but as was

agreed during the interview, 'instruction to capture an image' was being broadly interpreted as including the operation of powering the camera and opening of a lens cover without which the operation of image taking would not be possible. Therefore, as broadly as claimed, Shuichi does meet the claimed limitation 'instruction to capture an image'.

- b) Applicant further argues that there is no teaching or suggestion that is directed to stopping wireless oscillation during the imaging process when the electronic camera receives an instruction to capture an image. Shuichi clearly discloses that upon powering of the electronic camera, no power is supplied to the transmission equipment. These teachings are insufficient to anticipate a communication device for stopping wireless oscillation when the electronic camera receives an instruction to capture an image. The Examiner respectfully disagrees. As mentioned above, subsequent to the interview the Examiner pointed out the meaning of the word "stop" as used in claims 1 and 9 to be "to keep it from beginning" from the Webster's New world Dictionary (Third college edition) and a faxed copy was sent to the applicant on their request. Therefore keeping in mind the broadest reasonable interpretation of the claims consistent with this meaning, Shuichi teaches to 'keeping it from beginning' the wireless oscillation during the imaging process when the electronic camera receives an instruction to capture an image.
- 4. Regarding method claim 3 applicant argues the following:
  - a) Applicant argues that claim 3 recites stopping the generation of the carrier that was generated in the first step of the claim. Examiner agrees with applicant's arguments for this 'method claim'.
  - b) As discussed above with respect to claims 1 and 9, regarding limitation 'an instruction to record said information is issued' was being broadly interpreted as including the

Application/Control Number: 09/678,333

Art Unit: 2615

operation of powering the camera and opening of a lens cover without which the operation of image taking would not be possible as was agreed during the interview.

Therefore, as broadly as claimed, Shuichi does meet the claimed limitation 'instruction to capture an image.

Page 4

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Yogesh K. Aggarwal whose telephone number is (571) 272-7360. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:00AM-5:30PM.

- 5. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Ometz can be reached on (571)-272-7593. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.
- 6. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

YKA June 8, 2005

PRIMARY EXAMINER